# Search for mono-Z signature dark matter with the ATLAS detector at the LHC



WNPPC, February 13-16, 2020 Samantha Taylor Supervisor: Michel Lefebvre University of Victoria



University of Victoria

### **Dark matter**

#### **Motivation**

- Astronomical observations reveal the existence of dark matter
- The nature of dark matter is not explained by our current knowledge of physics



#### **Detection methods**

- Astronomical observations
  - Ex: galaxy rotation curves, velocity dispersion, gravitational lensing

#### Direct detection

- ie: DM nuclei scattering
- Indirect detection
  - ie: products of DM decay/annihilation
- Production of dark matter
  - ie: DM as product from particle collisions

### **Dark matter production**

### LHC

- *pp* beam collisions
- Most recent results from Run II (2015-2018) at 13 TeV
- Collection of 140 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data so far
- Run III to operate 2021-2024 at 13-14 TeV

### ATLAS

- Cylindrical detector with nearly 4π coverage
- Dark matter particle not yet detected
- Use data collected to make exclusion plots for parameters of interest
- *Missing transverse momentum* is usually the main discriminant





### Missing transverse momentum

- In principle, transverse momentum in particle collider final states should add to zero via conservation of momentum
- Certain processes give weakly-interacting/undetected particles in final state, giving the *illusion* of the violation of momentum conservation (missing transverse momentum, MET, or  $E_T^{miss}$ )
  - Ex: invisible *Z* decay to two neutrinos, poor signal reconstruction
- Can search for the existence of DM by studying  $E_T^{
  m miss}$ 
  - Important observable!
- More info on  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ : **Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.11, 903**



WNPPC - February 2020

### Models and signature

- Mono-X signature: large  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  and one energetic particle
  - Ex: Mono-jet, Mono-Higgs
- Interested in Mono-Z signature in this analysis
- Final state is  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  and Z boson
- Z decays to two same-flavour leptons ( $e^+e^-$  or  $\mu^+\mu^-$ )





### **Event selection and main backgrounds**



WNPPC - February 2020



## Simplified model (V/AV) and 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> result

- 6 free parameters:
- $g_q, g_l, g_{\chi}$  Coupling to quarks, leptons, DM -  $g_{\alpha} = 0.25, g_{l} = 0.00, g_{\chi} = 1.00$  $m_{\chi}$  -Dark matter mass  $m_{
  m med}$  -Mediator mass  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{med}}$  -Mediator width  $\bar{q}$ med



140fb<sup>-1</sup> result coming soon with Run II data

WNPPC - February 2020

# 2HDMa

- gg-induced leading order diagrams
- 5 free parameters:
- $m_A=m_H=m_{H^\pm}$  Mass of A, H, H+/
  - $m_a$  Mass of pseudoscalar a
  - $\sin heta$  Mixing angle between A, a
  - $an\!eta$  Ratio of VEVs of Higgs doublets
    - $m_\chi$  Dark matter mass



## 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> result (2HDMa)



Published results from JHEP 05 (2019) 142

## **Reweighting techniques**

#### MadGraph reweighting

- Many model parameter points are needed for limit scans
  - Full ATLAS simulation CPU intensive
- Instead, only need to fully simulate a few model parameter points using MadGraph
- Produce distributions using event reweighting to other target points
- Based on matrix element ratios

#### Histogram reweighting

- 2D histograms of two kinematic variables,  $p_T(\chi\chi)$  and  $p_T(II)$ , characterizing the event
- Take ratio of target parameter point histogram to fully simulated histogram for a given model parameter point
- Use these ratios to obtain an event weight to emulate distributions to target point



Full reconstruction
 Emulation using RW
 techniques

The black and red dots are added on the published figure to illustrate the concept of emulation in model parameter space

### **Closure tests of reweighting techniques**



### **2HDMa dark matter mass scan**

### Analysis method for parameter limits

- 1. Dark matter signal simulation with MadGraph
- 2. 'Fast' ATLAS detector simulation (Delphes)
- 3. Use SM background MET distribution from full analysis
- 4. Use data MET distribution from full analysis
  - 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> and/or 140 fb<sup>-1</sup> (currently blinded)
- 5. Extract parameter limits
  - frequentist profiled likelihood method

 $m_{\chi}$  = [1, 500] GeV mass scan



## Conclusion

- Lots of evidence for the existence of dark matter
- LHC, ATLAS use *pp* collisions to try to produce and detect dark matter
- Interested in Simplified V/AV and 2HDMa models
  - Mono-Z signature
- Know what main backgrounds are, and what selection criteria are needed to reduce them

- If no discovery is made, can use data to create exclusion ranges for model parameters
- Different reweighting techniques to efficiently simulate DM models
  - Validated
- Can then do 'fast analysis' to simulate data and create distributions to extend model parameter scans
  - Must be validated
- Can then extract parameter limits to create exclusion contour plots

# **Questions?**

# Backup

### Selection criteria for event analysis

	Selection criteria		
Two leptons	Two opposite-sign leptons, leading (subleading) $p_T > 30 (20) \text{ GeV}$		
Third lepton veto	Veto events if any additional lepton with $p_T > 7 \text{ GeV}$		
$m_{ll}$	$76 < m_{ll} < 106 { m ~GeV}$		
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ significance	$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 90 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } E_T^{\text{miss}} \text{ sig} > 9$		
$\Delta R_{ll}$	$\Delta R_{ll} < 1.8$		
<i>b</i> -jets veto	$N(b\text{-jets}) = 0$ with $b\text{-jet} p_T > 20$ GeV and $ \eta  < 2.5$		

 $m_{\chi^-}m_{med}$  contour plot for vector mediator (ee+ $\mu\mu$ )



#### $m_A$ - $m_a$ and tan $\beta$ - $m_a$ full contour plot for 2HDMa



JHEP 05 (2019) 142

#### Histogram reweighting ratios



Definitions and values of parameters in the $2HDM+a$ model		
Symbol	Definition	Value
α	Mixing angle of the two neutral CP-even weak eigen- states	$\cos(\beta - \alpha) = 0$
θ	Mixing angle of the two neutral CP-odd weak eigen- states	varied
aneta	Ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets	varied
v	Electroweak vacuum expectation value	$246\;GeV$
$\lambda_{ m P1}$	Quartic coupling between the scalar doublet $H_1$ and the pseudo-scalar $a$	$=\lambda_3$
$\lambda_{ m P2}$	Quartic coupling between the scalar doublet $H_2$ and the pseudo-scalar $a$	$=\lambda_3$
$\lambda_3$	The quartic coupling between the scalar doublets $H_1$ and $H_2$	3
$y_{\chi}$	Coupling between the DM and the pseudo-scalar $\boldsymbol{a}$	1
$m_h$	Mass of the light CP-even mass eigenstate	125~GeV
$m_H$	Mass of the heavy CP-even mass eigenstate	$= m_A$
$m_A$	Mass of the heavy CP-odd mass eigenstate	varied
$m_a$	Mass of the light CP-odd mass eigenstate	varied
$m_{H^{\pm}}$	Mass of the charged Higgs eigenstate	$= m_A$
$m_{\chi}$	Mass of the DM particle	10~GeV

#### JHEP 05 (2019) 142

#### Observed data yield for signal and background

Final State	ee	μμ
Observed Data	437	497
Signal		
$ZH \rightarrow \ell\ell + \text{inv} (B_{H \rightarrow \text{inv}} = 30\%)$	$32 \pm 1 \pm 3$	$34 \pm 1 \pm 3$
DM ( $m_{\text{med}} = 500 \text{ GeV}, m_{\chi} = 100 \text{ GeV}) \times 0.27$	$10.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.8$	$11.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.8$
Backgrounds		
qqZZ	$212 \pm 3 \pm 15$	$221 \pm 3 \pm 17$
ggZZ	$18.9 \pm 0.3 \pm 11.2$	$19.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 11.4$
WZ	$106 \pm 2 \pm 6$	$113 \pm 3 \pm 5$
Z + jets	$30 \pm 1 \pm 28$	$37 \pm 1 \pm 19$
Non-resonant- $\ell\ell$	$30 \pm 4 \pm 2$	$33 \pm 4 \pm 2$
Others	$1.4\pm0.1\pm0.2$	$2.5\pm2.0\pm0.8$
Total Background	$399 \pm 6 \pm 34$	$426 \pm 6 \pm 28$

#### PLB 776 (2017) 318