

Experiments with the vertical UCN source

Wolfgang Schreyer



Vertical source shipped to TRIUMF 2016

Experimental runs

Three runs

- 2 4 weeks of UCN experiments in each 2017, 2018, and 2019
- 4 6 weeks of three-shift schedule each, whole collaboration contributed
- Weeks of preparation & cooldown
- Months of hardware development with great support from TRIUMF machine shop & SciTech
- 60+ experimental setups total
- Run 2019 aborted after two weeks due to clogging in ³He cooling circuit

Goals

- Understand superfluid-helium source
- Qualify parts for new UCN source and nEDM
 - Transmission
 - Storage lifetime
 - Valves
 - Guides
 - Detectors
 - Polarizers

2018 run



First ultracold neutrons produced at TRIUMF 2017



Storage lifetime in source varies with temperature



2017: Reasonable agreement with MCNP + PENTrack simulations



 Heat conduction in He-II likely limiting factor for this source

Storage lifetime in source varies with temperature



2017: Reasonable agreement with MCNP + PENTrack simulations



- 2018: Improved vapor-pressure & temperature measurements
- Poor correlation between vapor pressure and T sensors below 1.2 K
- Heat conduction in He-II likely limiting factor for this source

Storage lifetime in source varies with time



2017: Steady drop from 37s to 25s over 18 days

2018: Fluctuations, higher & unstable temperatures, drop from 37s to 29s over 30 days

2019: Steady drop from 37s to 30s over 15 days

Storage lifetime in source varies with time



- Explained by neither temperature increase nor nitrogen leakage in superfluid helium
- Normalization method is needed to properly measure transmission/storage lifetime of UCN hardware

Transmission measurements



2018:

- (0) Start with IV1, 2, 3 closed
- (1) Irradiation time with IV1 open (60s)
- (2) Counting with IV2 & IV3 open (120s)

Transmission = Li counts(2)/He counts(1) Or transmission = Li counts(2)/He counts(2) Affected by source during (1) and (2) Pre-storage method (2019):

- (0) Start with IV1, 2, 3 closed
- (1) Irradiation time with IV1 open (60s)
- (2) Pre-storage time with IV1 closed (15s)
- (3) Counting with IV2 & IV3 open (120s)
- Transmission = Li counts (3)/He counts(2)
- Not affected by source during (2) and (3)!
- Optimized with simulations
 © Sean Vanbergen

Storage-lifetime measurements



- (0) Start with IV1, 2, 3 closed
- (1) Irradiation time with IV1 & IV2 open (fill up to IV3) (60s)
- (2) Store between IV2 & IV3, IV1 closed (2s 120s)
- (3) Counting with IV3 open (120s)

2019:

Use He counts during (2) and (3) for normalization

UCN valves



Compare setups with and without IV3



Off-the-shelf VAT valves © Ruediger Picker, Dennis Stang

- Transmission relative to guide: 90%
- Improvements between 2018 and 2019: Storage lifetime 16 s → 36 s



Guides

Japanese standard (85 mm ID)

- Bare stainless steel
- NiP-coated stainless steel
- NiMo-coated glass, NiP-coated Al adapters
- Copper



TUCAN standard (95 mm ID) © TRIUMF, Russell Mammei, Shinsuke Kawasaki

- AI (75 nm polish) + NiP (ChemProcessing)
- AI (750 nm polish) + NiP (ChemProcessing)
- AI (75 nm polish) + NiP (AST)
- SS (75 nm polish) + NiP (AST)
- SS (75 nm polish) + black NiP (AST)
- SS + NiP (10 nm polish) (UFT)
- AI (75 nm polish) + NiP (ChemProcessing) + repolish

Guide results



95mm guides

Transmission of 1m-long guides

- SS = SS + NiP
- Glass + NiMo 90%, copper 75%
- 95mm guides identical (+/- 2%) except rough guide (92%) and black NiP (94%)
- Profilometer measurements: NiP coating increases roughness by 25% to 100%



Guide results



Storage lifetime

- ChemProcessing: 50 52 s
- AST: 42 47 s
- UFT: 42 s
- Black NiP: 12 s (!)
- Not correlated with roughness
- Issues with porous welds in Al

Helium barriers

Overpressure vent © Cam Marshall

- Transmission 97%
- No excessive UCN losses through slits



Vacuum windows

- 15 μm Ti and 100 μm AlMg3
- Transmission 40% 50%
- Ti foil withstood accidental 1atm pressure difference

NiP-plated storage volume





- Before baking: 65 s
- After 12h baking at 100°C : 75 s
- After 12h baking at 150°C: 76 s



Detectors

Comparison ³He to ⁶Li

	³ He	⁶ Li
Background (1/s)	0.035	1.5 – 2.2
Relative efficiency	0.65	1
Light sensitive	No	Yes
Outgassing	No	Yes
Pulse time resolution	~ns	4 ns
Channels	1	9

Made improvements to ⁶Li detector to reduce outgassing and light leakage

Compared efficiency with rotary valve





Efficiency © Beryl Bell

Polarizers and spin flippers

Superconducting polarizer

• Added warm bore and vacuum window

Transmission Transmission drops to 50% at full current, as expected 0.9 RELIMINAR 0.8 0.7 0.6 Ŧ Ŧ I 0.5 50 150 200 100 0 SCM current (A) **Foil polarizers** © Sean Hansen-Romu, Blair Jamieson

- Only 60% polarization
- Spin flippers worked well





Iron-coated foil polarizer in permanent-magnet array



Glass guide broke during disassembly

Analysis in progress

- Understand diffuse reflection experiment "DREx"
 © Steve Sidhu
- Compare transmission and storage-lifetime measurements to simulations
 © Sean Vanbergen
- Compare polarizer measurements to simulations
 © Sean Hansen-Romu
- Measure magnetization of polarizer foils © T. Higuchi, F. Piermaier, S. Hansen-Romu, J. Martin
- Develop heat-conduction model
 © Jeff Martin
- Quantify contaminations from residual gas analyses
 © Pietro Giampa, Takashi Higuchi



Conclusions

Important results

- NiP-coated aluminium is suitable for UCN guides (low activation, non-magnetic, cryo tests outstanding)
- Baking temperature 100°C sufficient
- Overpressure vent, VAT valves, and superconducting polarizer are usable for new source
- Black NiP unsuitable for UCN guide
- Polarizer foils were not fully magnetized

Unfinished experiments (in order of urgency)

- 1. Thermal-radiation-suppressing guide
- 2. Transmission of longer guide lengths
- 3. Comparison of warm-bore diameters for superconducting polarizer
- 4. Characterizing Y-switch
- 5. Test flexible guide section
- 6. Improved foil polarizers
- 7. Storage in nEDM cell with valve
- 8. Spin flipper on metallic guide
- 9. Depolarization on wall bounce

2019 run

