

#### Search for New Physics in e+e- Final States With an Invariant Mass of 10-20 MeV Using the ARIEL Electron Accelerator



#### TRIUMF PP-EEC, April 2021



### Beyond the Standard Model



- Dark Energy: ???
- Large parameter space even for simple models
- Evidence at smaller scales?

#### Anomalies as Lampposts

- May see hints of connection between Dark Matter and SM at lower energy
- ... or other BSM physics
- Anomalies in particle, nuclear, and atomic physics:



Apr 22, 2021

## Muon g-2 Anomaly

FNAL result agrees with previous E821

Large deviation from SM prediction!

Eta in



#### arxiv:2104.03281 PRL.126.141801 (2021)

Apr 22, 2021

# Muon g-2 Anomaly

- Earlier uncertaintly in Hadronic Light-by-Light calculations now well constrained
- Consensus 'SM' point uses data-driven Hadronic Vacuum Polarization
- Lattice QCD (blue) suggests tension with 'SM' point, but error bars large
- New particle would add new QED-like term



## Beryllium Anomaly

- Multiple narrow, high-E states -excellent place to search for new MeV-scale physics
- p-beam produces
   <sup>8</sup>Be\*, de-excitation
   through e+e recorded in 5-fold
   spectrometer set



(graphics from arxiv:1707.09749)

<sup>8</sup>Be

ATOMKI PAIR

## Beryllium Anomaly



- Anomaly appears in mass and angular spectra
- No clear SM interpretation:
  - intermediate poles in nuclear propagator?
  - interaction of LO-NLO interference effects with detector acceptance?
  - Anomalous nuclear form factor?

(graphics from arxiv:1707.09749)

#### Beryllium Anomaly





- ATOMKI group remeasured in 2019 with improved 6-fold detector, also consistent peak in <sup>4</sup>He\* decay
- No independent/similar measurements yet, but some interest (Montreal?)



https://doi.org/10.1051/	/epjconf/202023204005
--------------------------	-----------------------

arxiv:1910.10459

### Isotope Shift Anomaly

- King Plot: super-ratios of isotope transition frequencies should be linear
- Nonlinearities can be interpreted as new electron-neutron interactions



arXiv:2004.11383

Apr 22, 2021

## A New Interaction?

• Simplest coupling via Kinetic Mixing:



- Parameterized by one coupling and mass
- Disfavored for X17 and g-2 areas of interest
- Hadronic (π<sup>0</sup>) probes
   strongest constraint

 $u_{\text{H}}^{2}$ 

kinetic mixing model exclusions with Belle-II (and other) projections

## Protophobia?

- Generalized new force could have flavor-dependent coupling:  $X^{\mu}(\Sigma_f e \epsilon_f \bar{f} \gamma_{\mu} f)$
- Moderately protophobic coupling combinations evades existing particle constraints, would generate an IS nonlinearity



- $|\epsilon_p/\epsilon_n| < 8\%$  -- similar scale as for Z<sup>0</sup>
- Purely leptonic production provides an efficient way to probe

#### Searching at an e- Accelerator



- Irreducible QED background similar, but no mass peak:
- Spectrometer pair: detect e+e- in coincidence



## Combinatoric Background

 Limited acceptance: singles e+ rate >> e+e- pair rate
 elastic e- from same bunch acts as missing partner!



$$S \sim \mathcal{L}$$
  

$$B \sim \mathcal{L}^2 \quad \text{FOM} \sim \frac{S}{\sqrt{B}}$$

- At high  ${\cal L}$  , FOM scales with wall clock, not  ${\cal L}$
- Optimize by moving e- arm to larger angle

Irreducible QED	Singles e+	Singles e-	Random coin.
9.1 Hz	30.2 kHz	3.6 MHz	168 Hz

## Proposed Design

- 1 um Tantalum foil target
- Adjustable twin-arm spectrometer
- Asymmetric angles and fields to optimize S/√B of e+e-against combinatorics
- Final optimization still being studied
- Likely 'stage 0' placement near High-Power Beam Dump.



## Target Details

- 1 um Ta foil
- ~0.5% beam spread from scattering
- Calculated heating of target is 4W
- Radiative cooling should be sufficient
- Spin target disk -- additional stability, spreads heat
- Interlock with accelerator to protect beamline
- Pass-through configuration of target possible

## Spectrometers and Resolution

- Two single-dipole spectrometers
- Elastic line in acceptance
- 2/3 Triple-GEM detectors + Scintillators on each arm:
  - Layer 0: Momentum, inplane angle
  - Layer 1: Out-of-plane angle
- MC sim with MS effects in target and detectors, magnetic optics reconstruction





#### Focal Plane Detectors



- 25x40cm Triple-GEM detector planes (modified CERN design) built through NSF MRI grant
- Readout via APV and MPD4
- Already built by Hampton group and in use
- Sufficient planes and readout electronics available

# Trigger

- Triggered via segmented scintillator hodoscope
- Needs timing resolution
   < 500 ps</li>
- Achieved at MUSE:
  - 2 mm thick scintillator, SiPM readout
  - Resolution < 100 ps</li>
  - Tested up to 8mm wide, 15 cm long.



S2134

Apr 22, 2021

#### Simulated Signal and Analysis



- Combinatoric background smooth, estimated from mixed events
- Irreducible background smooth, calculated
- Signal significantly narrower
- Narrow, sliding fit region to seek excess



• Showing limits with existing as well as upgraded ARIEL

### Timeline

- Stage 0: Existing ARIEL Design
  - GEMs+electronics can be commissioned and available within 9-12 mo.
  - Final design+construction of magnets possible in same time frame (similar design used in DarkLight 1B)
  - Can begin commissioning at TRIUMF in 2022, ~12 mo after funding becomes available
- Stage 1: Recirculation to reach 50 MeV beam, chicane possibly needed to separate 1st and 2nd pass beams through DarkLight target
- Stage 2: Additional cryo module added, DarkLight moved to allow simultaneous 50 MeV operation with ARIEL

#### Beamtime Request

- 2300 hours starting in 2022:
  - 1000h @ 31 MeV, 150 uA at 13 MeV spectrometer setting
  - 1000h @ 31 MeV, 150 uA at 17 MeV spectrometer setting
  - 300h for background studies and commissioning

## Summary

- Several anomalies (including new g-2) are compatible with lowmass, nearlyprotophobic force
- Purely leptonic
   production key aspect
   of expanded search
- Mixed-hadronic (LHCb etc) + pure-leptonic (this proposal) provide complementary coverage of X17 region



\* g-2 preferred band does not include FNAL result

#### The DarkLight Collaboration

R. Alarcon, R. Dipert, G. Randall Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ

A. Christopher, T. Gautam, M. Kohl, J. Nazeer, T. Patel, M. Rathnayake, M. Suresh Hampton University, Hampton, VA

S. Benson

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA

J. Bessuille, P. Fisher, D. Hasell, E. Ihloff, R. Johnston, J. Kelsey, I. Korover, S. Lee, X. Li, P. Moran, R. Milner, C. Vidal, Y. Wang Laboratory for Nuclear Science, MIT, Cambridge, MA

> R. Kanungo Saint Mary's University, Halifax, Canada

J. C. Bernauer<sup>a</sup>, E. Cline, R. Corliss, K. Dehmelt, A. Deshpande CFNS, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY

R. Baartman, J. Dilling, O. Kester, R. Laxdal, T. Planche, S. Yen **TRIUMF, Vancouver, Canada** 

M. Hasinoff University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

W. Deconinck, M. Gericke University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada

J. Martin University of Winnipeg, Winnipeg, Canada

> I. Friščić University of Zagreb, Croatia

Co-Spokespeople: Jan Bernauer<sup>b</sup>, Ross Corliss, and Richard Milner

Apr 22, 2021

#### Other Accelerator Experiments

- LHCb stats by ~2023, hadronic suppression in lowmass search
- Belle II stats in 2025 or later
- LDMX no timeline. data hungry
- NA64 probably can't close the gap. data hungry
- HPS can't reach
- PADME very preliminary proposal to look for visible decays, no timeline
- MAGIX 2023+
- APEX can't reach
- Mu3e initial timeline delayed.

## LHCb

- Run3 upgrades, expect dataset by 2023
- probes m<sub>X</sub>≤100 MeV via D<sup>0\*</sup> decay
- but additional model dependence



#### 8Be Apparatus







https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/202023204005

**Figure 1.** Comparison between the old and new setups. The previous setup (a) used 5 telescopes, each with a MWPC to gather the position of the particles and a thin scintillator in front of the main one to differentiate electrons and positrons from gammas. The new setup (b) consisted of 6 telescopes, and the MWPCs was replaced by DSSDs, which can be used for the particle identification, removing the need for the thin scintillators.

#### Additional Intermediate State?

- Angular correlations natural for intermediate poles in the propagator
- Not clear if this can also match the observed mass resonances



Fig. 1 The cp dependence (where p is momentum of the electron/positron) of  $\Theta$  (given by (2)) of the expected peak in the coincident  $e^-e^+$  pair counting rate in the case of an E2 transition of transition energy  $\hbar c K_{31} = 5.572$  MeV of <sup>8</sup>Be. cp is measured in MeV units and Θ is given in degrees

#### arxiv:2005.10643

175

165

145

Θ

## LO+NLO Inteference

- Small charge sign asymmetry in interference term
- Interaction of LO+NLO assymetry with 5-fold detector acceptance can produce similar resonances
- Unclear if consistent approach can match new detector, <sup>4</sup>He result





#### arxiv:2102.01127

Apr 22, 2021

**TRIUMF PP-EEC** 

30

18

Sum up to order a  $a^2$  contribution

 $\alpha^3$  contribution

16

### Anomalous <sup>8</sup>Be Form Factor?

- Careful treatment of multipole interferences
- Introduction of form factor to M1 transition
- Good fit to mass peak, but difficult to match angular correlation
- Resulting FF has length scale O(10) fm
   -- much larger than charge radius



#### arxiv:1703.04588

Apr 22, 2021

**TRIUMF PP-EEC** 

S2134

31