

Design and testing of a monoenergetic 24 keV neutron source for calibration of low threshold dark matter detectors

Dan McKinsey for the SPICE/HeRALD collaboration UC Berkeley/LBNL

> GUINEAPIG Workshop on Light Dark Matter TRIUMF September 10, 2022



The TESSERACT project (part of the DMNI suite)

<u>Transition Edge Sensors with Sub-Ev</u> <u>Resolution And Cryogenic Targets</u>

- Managed by LBNL
- Funding for R&D and project development began in June 2020.
- One experimental design, and different target materials with complementary DM sensitivity. Zero E-field.
- All using TES readout
- ~40 people from 8 institutions
- Includes SPICE (polar crystals)
 and HeRALD (superfluid helium).



Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest





Coincidence-based background rejection

SPICE and HeRALD are both designed for instrumental background rejection, in the event low energy backgrounds can't be fully mitigated in a given sensor.



Science goals achievable even with residual micro-stress fracture rate



Design driver: Push threshold to single optical phonon scale, 10s of meV

TES fabrication on Sapphire now demonstrated!

Tc too low for current parasitic power levels... (no DM search data yet)





Developing GaAs target



One of the brightest samples of silicon and boron-doped GaAs scintillator tested.



- Measured (+ongoing) the luminosity of 27 GaAs samples at 850, 925, 1075, and 1350 nm.
- Luminosities vary from nearly zero to over 100 photons/keV, radiative decay times range from 200 to 2000 ns

In SPICE, we will detect this scintillation in coincidence with phonon signal, providing ER/NR/instrumental background rejection In addition, we hope to use phonon signal ratios over multiple TES channels to mitigate surfacelocalized stress-induced background events

TESSERACT

Light baryonic target nuclei for NRDM

With sufficiently low threshold and/or a light target, lower dark matter masses may be probed. NR searches benefit from A^2 or Z^2 scaling of DM cross-sections, generically lower NR backgrounds (neutrons) than ER backgrounds (betas, gammas)

In TESSERACT, low thresholds will be achieved using TES readout, enabling reach to DM masses that cannot be reached by detectors that have only ionization or scintillation signals



Superfluid helium has significant additional advantages

- Quantum evaporation signal gain
- Multipixel background rejection (including heat-only events!) by requiring coincidence
- Multiple signal channels (rotons, phonons, scintillation) -> ER/NR/instrumental discrimination



Helium Roton Apparatus for Light Dark matter (HeRALD)



HeRALD concept and sensitivity paper <u>PhysRevD.100.092007</u>

- ➤ Operated at ~20-50 mK
- ➤ Calorimeters with TES readout
 - submerged in liquid
 - Detect UV photons, triplet

molecules and IR photons

- suspended in vacuum
 - Detect UV photons, IR photons and He atoms (evaporated by quasiparticles)



Quasiparticles in ⁴He

Quasiparticles: collective excitations in superfluid helium

Long-lived, speeds of ~100 m/s

Classified based on momentum: **Phonons**, **R**- rotons, **R**+ rotons (roton ≈ high-momentum phonon)

At interface, can transform from one type to another if energy conserved

An eV scale recoil produces thousands of quasiparticles!









Detector Performance Specifications

 $\sigma_E \sim \frac{\sqrt{4k_b T_c^2 G(\tau_{collect} + \tau_{sensor})}}{\epsilon_{collect} \epsilon_{sensor}}$

Sensor Characteristics	Required	Goal	Stretch Goal
TES T_c	40 mK	$24\mathrm{mK}$	13 mK
Total TES Volume	$[100 \times 400] \mu \text{m} \times 40 \text{nm}$	$[50 \times 200] \mu m \times 40 nm$	$[25 \times 100] \mu m \times 40 nm$
Bare TES bias power	$40 \mathrm{fW}$	780aW	$9\mathrm{aW}$
Bare TES noise σ_{TES}	$40\mathrm{meV}$	$10{ m meV}$	$1.9 \mathrm{meV}$
W/Al interface transmission probability $\epsilon_{W/Al}$	10^{-4}	10^{-4}	$5 x 10^{-4}$

Target Excitation Efficiencies

GaAs scintillation efficiency ϵ_γ	25%	60%	60%
LHe quantum evaporation: efficiency $\epsilon_{collectHe}$	4%	10%	10%
LHe quantum evaporation: adsorption gain \mathbf{g}_{He}	8×	$16 \times$	16 imes

Resulting 7σ Recoil Energy Thresholds

Scaled from Si demonstrator (2.6 eV σ_{phonon}) by phonon velocity, mean free path, and sensor area.

$1 \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3/\mathrm{SiO}_2$ (phonon only)	$3.5\mathrm{eV}$	$750{ m meV}$	$24\mathrm{meV}$
$1 \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{GaAs}$ (phonons GaAs + photons on Ge)	$\mathbf{2.8 eV} \ (\sim 2 - \gamma)$	900 meV $(1-\gamma)$	$35 \mathrm{meV}$ (optical phonon)
$1 \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{GaAs}$ (phonons GaAs + photons on Ge)			
$0.1\!\times\!1\!\times1\mathrm{cm}^2$ Ge-based photon sensor	$\mathbf{1.8eV}~({\sim}2\gamma)$	$390 \mathrm{meV} \;(< 1 \;\gamma)$	$12{ m meV}$
1 cm^3 GaAs phonon sensor	$3.6\mathrm{eV}$	$770\mathrm{meV}$	$24 \mathrm{meV}~(\sim 1 \mathrm{OP})$
$64 \mathrm{cm}^3$ LHe (evaporation via Si-based sensor)	$16.2\mathrm{eV}$	$0.75\mathrm{eV}$	$24\mathrm{meV}$
includes scaling by $\epsilon_{collectHe} \times g_{He}$			
$0.1\!\times\!\!4\!\times4\mathrm{cm}^3$ Si-based He evaporation sensor	$5.2\mathrm{eV}$	$750\mathrm{meV}$	$38{ m meV}$



Detector Performance Specifications

 $\sigma_E \sim \frac{\sqrt{4k_b T_c^2 G(\tau_{collect} + \tau_{sensor})}}{\epsilon_{collect} \epsilon_{sensor}}$

Sensor Characteristics	Required	Goal	Stretch Goal
TES T_c	40 mK	$24\mathrm{mK}$	13 mK
Total TES Volume	$[100 \times 400] \mu \text{m} \times 40 \text{nm}$	$[50 \times 200] \mu m \times 40 nm$	$[25 \times 100] \mu \text{m} \times 40 \text{nm}$
Bare TES bias power	$40 \mathrm{fW}$	780aW	$9\mathrm{aW}$
Bare TES noise σ_{TES}	$40\mathrm{meV}$	$10{ m meV}$	$1.9 \mathrm{meV}$
W/Al interface transmission probability $\epsilon_{W/Al}$	10^{-4}	10^{-4}	$5x10^{-4}$

Target Excitation Efficiencies

GaAs scintillation efficiency ϵ_γ	25%	60%	60%
LHe quantum evaporation: efficiency $\epsilon_{collectHe}$	4%	10%	10%
LHe quantum evaporation: adsorption gain \mathbf{g}_{He}	$8 \times$	$16 \times$	16 imes

Resulting 7σ Recoil Energy Thresholds

Scaled from Si demonstrator (2.6 eV σ_{phonon}) by phonon velocity, mean free path, and sensor area.

$1 \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3/\mathrm{SiO}_2$ (phonon only)	$3.5\mathrm{eV}$	$750\mathrm{meV}$	$24\mathrm{meV}$
$1 \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{GaAs}$ (phonons GaAs + photons on Ge)	$\mathbf{2.8 eV} \ (\sim 2 - \gamma)$	900 meV $(1-\gamma)$	$35 \mathrm{meV}$ (optical phonon)
$1 \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{GaAs}$ (phonons GaAs + photons on Ge)			
$0.1\!\times\!1\!\times1\mathrm{cm}^2$ Ge-based photon sensor	$\mathbf{1.8 eV} (\sim 2\gamma)$	$390 \mathrm{meV} \;(< 1 \;\gamma)$	$12{ m meV}$
1 cm^3 GaAs phonon sensor	$3.6\mathrm{eV}$	$770\mathrm{meV}$	$24 \mathrm{meV}~(\sim 1 \mathrm{OP})$
$64 \mathrm{cm}^3$ LHe (evaporation via Si-based sensor)	$16.2\mathrm{eV}$	$0.75\mathrm{eV}$	$24\mathrm{meV}$
includes scaling by $\epsilon_{collectHe} \times g_{He}$ $0.1 \times 4 \times 4 \text{ cm}^3$ Si-based He evaporation sensor	5.2 eV	How to calibrate down to	
		Such low energies :	



- First measurement of the liquid ⁴He nuclear recoil light yield!
- arXiv:2108.02176, Phys. Rev. D 105, 092005 (2022)
- Aim to measure NR's down to ~2 keV





Light yield measurement of superfluid He-4



- ➢ Data taken at 1.75K
- ➤ Cockcroft–Walton (CW) generator
 - No voltage divider for PMT
 - No resistive heat
 - Suitable for down to ~mK
- ➤ High light yield
 - ~1.1 PE/keV_{ee}



Light yield measurement of superfluid He-4





- ➤ arXiv:2108.02176, Phys. Rev. D 105, 092005 (2022)
- ➤ First measurement of LHe scintillation in tens of keV.
- ER yield relatively flat (as expected)
- NR yield agrees with pre-defined model
- Very high fraction (~13%) of NR energy goes into scintillation!







- Filter neutrons using a notch in cross section in iron at 24 keV
- Uses moderated reactor neutrons not portable



P.S. Barbeau, J.I. Collar, P.M. Whaley, NIMA (2007)



Background - photoneutron source

- ${}^{9}\text{Be}(\gamma,n)$ with 1.691 MeV gamma from ¹²⁴Sb produces 24 keV neutrons
- Lead shielding to • reduce gammas degrades neutron spectrum

A.E. Chavarria et al., Phys. Rev. D (2016)





Notch in iron cross section coincides with SbBe photoneutron energy at 24 keV!





- 1 GBq antimony activated at McClellan research reactor
- Iron rod for monoenergetic 24 keV neutrons along axis







Simulation geometry





Neutron spectrum

For 1 GBq Sb¹²⁴ source: 3.73 neutrons / sec / cm² (> 1 keV)

40% of this flux 20-25 keV

24 keV neutron production rate estimated using:

- 47.57% branching fraction for 1691 keV gamma production in Sb¹²⁴ decays
- ENDF/B-VIII.0 photonuclear cross section of 1.41 mb

379 keV neutrons (not included in plot) expected to be about 3% of total neutron production, before iron filter moderation





Gamma spectrum front face

For 1 GBq Sb¹²⁴ source: 111 gammas / sec / cm² leaving front of assembly

Only 3 gammas / sec / cm² leaving iron filter face

Translates to total rate of 141 kHz gammas leaving front face of source

Dominated by Sb¹²⁴ decay gammas < 3 MeV

1% contribution from inelastic/neutron capture gammas extending to higher energies





Hydrogen gas proportional counter

- 5 cm diameter sphere of 3 bar hydrogen gas
- Low threshold kinematic matching
- Flat recoil spectrum for low energy, monoenergetic source endpoint at incident neutron energy





Characterization of Fe-shielded SbBe

Characterization Setup



H₂ prop. counter data (not requiring LS tag)



Measured flux of 24keV neutrons is 1.9x higher than expectation from sim



Hydrogen gas proportional counter calibration

- Tricky to calibrate gammas interact mainly in detector walls, so no ER peaks
- DD energy of 2.8 MeV well above signal, soft "endpoint" at 800 keV due to detector geometry





Gamma background in proportional counter

- Use rise time to reduce electron recoils (long track length for betas)
- Gamma-only spectrum from plugged source







Floating flux and W-value

- Best fit flux is 1.9x higher in data than sim, and gain is 0.84x calibration from DD
- Literature W-value for protons in hydrogen at >MeV is 36 eV. To explain the discrepancy with DD calibration, W-value at ~20 keV needs to be 36/0.84 = 43 eV



https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1405/1405.5665.pdf





Neutron tagging with liquid scintillator (LS)

- Do we have a fast backing detector for a scattering experiment with 24 keV neutrons? Try liquid scintillator EJ-301 with high-QE PMT
- <u>C. Awe et al, Phys. Rev. C (2018)</u> measure quenching factor of ~10%, and Eljen estimates ~1 phe/keVee, so expect signal around a couple photoelectrons
- Use coincidence with hydrogen gas proportional counter to look for neutrons in LS
- In coincidence, maximum energy in the H2 proportional chamber drops to 15 keV





Liquid scintillator neutron tagging

- Excess of small pulses observed in LS in coincidence with proton recoil
- Not observed in plugged source







Liquid scintillator neutron tagging efficiency

- Efficiency of ~10% for ~15 keV neutrons
- Allows neutron tagging
- Confirms 24 keV neutron production





Collimation

• Neutron rate in hydrogen proportional counter decreases quickly away from iron filter axis





Progress on Superfluid Helium

Superfluid He detector (HeRALD 0.2) being designed, based on Cs-based film blocking recently demonstrated at UMass



- Will be first operated at LBNL
- Eight sensors viewing 8 cm³ LHe
- Will use very latest TES-based detectors, with projected < 1 eV resolution
- Calibrations to be performed using x-rays, gamma-rays, and new SbBe
 24 keV neutron beam
- Reach single-photon counting regime for LHe scintillation photons using TES
- Measure quantum evaporation yield for low-energy recoils
- Demonstrate detector threshold of <100eV, quantify ER/NR discrimination
- Demonstrate rejection of "heat-only" backgrounds through use of TES coincidence



- TESSERACT is developing different targets for DM searches
- DM targets include polar crystals (SPICE) and superfluid helium (HeRALD).
- First R&D results on superfluid helium light yield, SbBe neutron beam.
- Plans to use SbBe neutron beam for LHe detector calibration





Rates in other directions



Gamma flux out the back face is about 3x larger than front

For 1 GBq Sb¹²⁴ source: The total gamma radiation rate out of the sides of the assembly is 2 MHz

Represents a flux of about 300 gammas / sec / cm² on average, with variations of a factor of a few across the face

The total simulated neutron rate leaving the sides and back of the source is 13 kHz



Gamma characterization

- Nal detector
- Neutron/gamma ratio of 0.025 in simulation
- Measured gamma flux is ~half of simulated (could be from uncertainty in source activity)
- Combined with higher measured neutron flux than sims, neutron/gamma ratio may be as high as 0.1



