

## Constraining the astrophysical $\gamma$ process: Cross section measurements of ( $p,\gamma$ ) reactions in inverse kinematics

Artemis Tsantiri

Supervisor: Artemis Spyrou

NN2024, Whistler BC, 8/22/2024





This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science under Cooperative Agreement DE-SC0000661, the State of Michigan and Michigan State University. Michigan State University designs and establishes FRIB as a DOE Office of Science National User Facility in support of the mission of the Office of Nuclear Physics.

## Introduction





Michigan State University

# Introduction



Michigan State University

# Introduction

- Network calculations of  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  process do not accurately reproduce solar abundances
- Nuclear input carries large uncertainties, especially for radioactive isotopes
- <sup>74</sup>Se(γ,p)<sup>73</sup>As reaction rate important for final abundance of p-nucleus <sup>74</sup>Se





# γ-summing technique with SuN



- Summing NaI(Tl) SuN: Large size, high efficiency γ-ray detector
- 8 optically isolated segments
- 24 PMTs
- Sum of Segments (SoS) → Information about individual γ-rays
- Total Absorption Spectrum (TAS) →
   Information about total excitation energy Ex





# **Experiments at ReA NSCL/FRIB**

Gas cell target



- Cross section measurements in inverse kinematics
  - Proof-of-principle stable beam 2017: <sup>82</sup>Kr(p,γ)<sup>83</sup>Rb
  - Radioactive beam 2023: <sup>73</sup>As(p,γ)<sup>74</sup>Se
- Hydrogen gas cell target
- SuN + SuNSCREEN detectors

SuN detector (side section)



Experimental Setup (without SuNSCREEN)



**Facility for Rare Isotope Beams** Michigan State University E. Klopfer et al, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A

SuNSCREEN and SuN

788, 5 (2015)

# **Background Subtractions**

Background contributions:

- Cosmic rays  $\rightarrow$  SuNSCREEN veto
- Room Background  $\rightarrow$  Pulsed Beam
- Interaction of the beam with the beam line and the gas cell  $\rightarrow$  gas cell full and empty runs





# **Analysis Overview**





## <sup>82</sup>Kr(p,γ)<sup>83</sup>Rb Results

- Standard statistical model calculations tend to overproduce the cross section
- Based on experimental data in neighboring nuclei, theory appears to consistently overestimates reaction rates in this mass region





# <sup>82</sup>Kr(p,γ)<sup>83</sup>Rb Results

- Standard statistical model calculations tend to overproduce the cross section
- Based on experimental data in neighboring nuclei, theory appears to consistently overestimates reaction rates in this mass region



But we managed to constrain the product of the NLD and  $\gamma$ SF, and therefore we should be able to accurately reproduce our extracted cross section with TALYS!



## <sup>82</sup>Kr(p,γ)<sup>83</sup>Rb Results

A better description of the experimental data can be obtained with the suggested combinations of NLD and  $\gamma SF \rightarrow$  constrain cross section on broader energy range





## <sup>73</sup>As(p,γ)<sup>74</sup>Se Results





# **Summary & Outlook**

- Systematic study of (p,γ) reactions allows for constrains on theoretical models used in astrophysical applications
- The <sup>73</sup>As(p,γ)<sup>74</sup>Se and <sup>82</sup>Kr(p,γ)<sup>83</sup>Rb reaction cross section was measured for the first time in inverse kinematics
- A better description of the experimental data can be obtained with the suggested combinations of NLD and  $\gamma SF$



### **Future Work:**

- Finalize analysis of the  $^{73}\mbox{As}(p,\gamma)^{74}\mbox{Se}$  data
- Provide broader cross section constraint from statistical properties
- Study the effect of the extracted  $^{73}{\rm As}(p,\gamma)^{74}{\rm Se}$  cross section on the  $^{74}{\rm Se}$  final abundance for a SNII scenario



# Acknowledgments

## FRIB / MSU

A. Spyrou	F
L. Balliet	N
H. C. Berg	G
J. Berkman	J.
K. Bompotinis	E
A. Doetsch	A
R. Gaarg	H
T. Gaballah	N
J. Graham II	N
C. Harris	С
R. Jain	N
S. Liddick	S
R. S. Lubna	R

F. Montes M. Mogannam G. Owens-Fryar J. Pereira E. Ronning A. Sebastian H. Schatz M. K. Smith M. Smith C. Tinson N. Tubaro

- S. Uthayakumaar
- R. Zegers



**Facility for Rare Isotope Beams** Michigan State University

## <u>UTK</u>

A. Palmisano-Kyle

Ohio University

A. L. Richard

### **PNNL** E. C. Good S. M. Lyons

ATOMKI P. Mohr

## <u>Notre Dame</u>

C. Dembski A. C. Dombos O. Gomez A. Simon



<u>CMU</u> H. Arora N. Dimitrakopoulos P. Tsintari

## Hope College

P. A. DeYoung B. Monteagudo G. Balk G. Ogudoro E. Weissling

## **Special Thanks**

A. Chester A. Henriquez S. Nash C. Sumithrarachchi

## **Backup slides**

## **Backup: Broadening of TAS peak**



100

4000

5000

6000

- $N_t$ : Number of target nuclei
- $\Phi$  : Beam flux

.



7000

Energy (keV)

9000

10000

8000

## **Backup: Gas Cell**









**Facility for Rare Isotope Beams** Michigan State University

## **Backup: Efficiency and Yield determination**

- Calculate efficiency as a function of all the  $E_x$  that contribute in our TAS peak
- Simulate all possible  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  ray cascades emitted inside SuN with RAINIER
- GEANT4 for detector's resolution
- To describe our compound nucleus we use combinations of nuclear level densities  $\rho(E_x E_\gamma)$  and  $\gamma$  ray strength functions  $\gamma SF(E_\gamma)$  that can replicate our SoS





## Backup: Efficiency and Yield Determination with RAINIER and GEANT4



Michigan State University

# **Backup: Theoretical Investigation**





# **Backup: What about OMP? Why JLM?**





# Backup: NLD and **ySF** parameters chosen

LD Model	LD Model Details		Upbend	l in γSF
CT default	T = 0.824	E <sub>0</sub> = -1.16 [1]	No	
BSFG default	α = 10.17	E <sub>1</sub> = -0.54 [1]	No	
СТ	T = 0.824	E <sub>0</sub> = -2.2	No	
СТ	T = 0.861	E <sub>0</sub> = -3.34 [2]	Νο	
BSFG	α = 10.17	E <sub>1</sub> = -1.6	No	
BSFG	α = 10.17	E <sub>1</sub> = -0.54	a = 1.5	c = 8.7E-8 [3]
BSFG	α = 10.17	E <sub>1</sub> = -0.54	a = 1.0	c = 1.0E-7

<u>**vSF chosen</u>**: Generalized Lorentzian of the form of Kopecky-Uhl [4] <u>**Upbend**</u> added of the form:  $f_{upbend} = c \cdot \exp(-a \cdot E_{\gamma})$ </u>

[1] T. von Egidy and D. Bucurescu, Phys. Rev. C 80, 054310 (2009)
[2] R. Hoffman, F. Dietrich, R. Bauer, K. Kelley, and M. Mustafa 10.2172/15014588 (2004)
[3] M. Guttormsen, R. Chankova, U. Agvaanluvsan, and et. al., Phys. Rev. C 71, 044307 (2005).
[4] J. Kopecky and M. Uhl, Phys. Rev. C 41, 1941 (1990)

