# <sup>7</sup>Li in the no-core shell model with continuum framework with coupling of mass partitions

Jakub Herko University of Notre Dame

Konstantinos Kravvaris, Sofia Quaglioni Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

> Petr Navrátil TRIUMF

Guillaume Hupin Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab

### No-core shell model with continuum (NCSMC)

- Describes both bound and scattering states
- Wave function expanded in terms of NCSM eigenstates and NCSM/RGM binary-cluster states:

$$\Psi = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} | \checkmark \rangle + \sum_{\nu} \int dr u_{\nu}(r) | \diamond \rangle$$

- r ... parameter coordinate playing role of distance between clusters  $u_{\nu}(r)$  ... continuous amplitudes representing intercluster relative motion
- For scattering states asymptotic form of  $u_{\nu}(r)$  determines scattering matrix  $\rightarrow$  eigenphase shifts, properties of resonances, cross sections
- Distribution of nucleons between clusters is called "mass partition"

# NCSMC calculations for <sup>7</sup>Li

- Motivation: nuclear astrophysics, primordial nucleosynthesis,  ${}^{3}$ H for fusion energy generation via  ${}^{6}$ Li $(n, {}^{3}$ H)<sup>4</sup>He
- We couple mass partitions  ${}^{6}\text{Li} + n$  and  ${}^{6}\text{He} + p$
- $\bullet$  Coupling of mass partitions allows for calculation of charge-exchange reaction  ${}^6{\rm Li}(n,p){}^6{\rm He}$
- Chiral N<sup>3</sup>LO nucleon-nucleon interaction used, 3-nucleon interaction neglected

### Bound-state energies for <sup>7</sup>Li



- Good agreement between calculated and experimental energies
- $\bullet$  Results independent of mass partition bound states well described by NCSM

# Reproduced resonances in <sup>7</sup>Li



- Experimentally observed resonances reproduced in correct order
- Results depend on mass partition
- Discrepancy between calculated and experimental widths (except  $1/2_2^-$ )

### Predicted resonances in <sup>7</sup>Li



Two 1/2<sup>-</sup>, three 3/2<sup>-</sup>, three 5/2<sup>-</sup> and one 1/2<sup>+</sup> resonances predicted
Results depend on mass partitions, effect of coupling

### $1/2^+$ eigenphase shift and diagonal phase shifts



- Previous NCSMC calculations [1] neglecting coupling of mass partitions predict S-wave  $1/2^+$  resonance in <sup>6</sup>He + p, but no such resonance was experimentally observed [2]
- The  $1/2^+$  resonance is dominated by  ${}^2S_{1/2}({}^6\text{Li}(1^+) + n)$  channel
- No  $1/2^+$  resonance found in <sup>6</sup>He + p channels

[1] Vorabbi *et al.* Phys. Rev. C **100**, 024304 (2019)
 [2] Dronchi *et al.* Phys. Rev. C **107**, L061303 (2023)

### Cross section of ${}^{6}\text{Li}(n,p){}^{6}\text{He}$ reaction



• Threshold and overall shape reproduced, values overestimated, missing channels

Jakub Herko<sup>1</sup> Konstantinos Kravvaris<sup>2</sup> Petr Navrátil<sup>3</sup> Sofia Quaglioni<sup>2</sup> Guillaume Hupin<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA <sup>2</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA <sup>3</sup>TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada <sup>4</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France

#### No-core shell model with continuum (NCSMC) [1]

• Describes both bound and scattering states

· System of nucleons described by intrinsic Hamiltonian

$$H = T_{\rm rel} + \sum_{i,j} V_{ij}$$

Chiral N<sup>3</sup>LO nucleon-nucleon interaction used

• Wave function expanded in terms of NCSM [2] eigenstates and NCSM/RGM [3,4] binary-cluster states:

$$\Psi = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} | \bigvee + \sum_{\nu} \int dr u_{\nu}(r) | \langle \langle \rangle^{2} \rangle$$

r ... parameter coordinate playing role of distance between clusters

 $u_{
u}(r)$  ... continuous amplitudes representing intercluster relative motion

• Distribution of nucleons between clusters is called "mass partition"

- Expansion coefficients  $c_{\lambda}$  and amplitudes  $u_{\nu}(r)$  calculated by solving NCSMC equations on Lagrange mesh
- NCSMC equations can be solved for bound or scattering states by choosing asymptotic form of  $u_{\nu}(r)$
- For scattering states asymptotic form of  $u_{\nu}(r)$  determines scattering matrix  $\rightarrow$  eigenphase shifts, properties of resonances, cross sections

#### Motivation

- $\bullet$  Nuclear astrophysics, primordial nucleosynthesis,  $^{3}\text{H}$  for fusion energy generation via  $^{6}\text{Li}(\textit{n},^{3}\text{H})^{4}\text{He}$
- Previous work [5] taking into account relevant mass partitions separately

predicts S-wave  $1/2^+$  resonance in <sup>6</sup>He + p just above proton separation energy • No such resonance was experimentally observed [6]

- We couple mass partitions <sup>6</sup>Li + n and <sup>6</sup>He + p
- We also predict S-wave  $1/2^+$  resonance just above proton separation energy, but only in <sup>6</sup>Li + *n* channel

• Coupling of mass partitions allows for calculation of charge-exchange reaction  ${}^{6}\text{Li}(n,p){}^{6}\text{He}$ 

#### Reproduced resonances



Experimentally observed resonances reproduced in correct order
 Discrepancy between calculated and experimental widths



 $\bullet$  Two 1/2<sup>-</sup>, three 3/2<sup>-</sup>, three 5/2<sup>-</sup> and one 1/2<sup>+</sup> resonances predicted

#### Predicted 1/2<sup>+</sup> resonance

Eigenphase shift (solid line) and diagonal phase shifts (dashed lines):



• The  $1/2^+$  resonance is dominated by  ${}^2S_{1/2}({}^6\text{Li}(1^+) + n)$  channel

• No  $1/2^+$  resonance found in  ${}^{6}\text{He} + p$  channels

• Discrepancy between previous NCSMC prediction and experiment explained



Bound-state energies independent of mass partition - well described by NCSM
 Results for resonances depend on mass partition, effect of coupling

### Cross section of ${}^{6}Li(n, p){}^{6}He$



• Threshold and overall shape reproduced, values overestimated, missing channels

#### References

S. Baroni, P. Navrátil and S. Quaglioni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 022505 (2013)
 P. Navrátil, J. P. Vary and B. R. Barrett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 092501 (2008)
 S. Quaglioni and P. Navrátil, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 092501 (2008)
 S. Quaglioni and P. Navrátil, Phys. Rev. C **100**, 042606 (2009)
 M. Vorabbi et al. Phys. Rev. C **100**, 024304 (2019)
 N. Drochi et al. Phys. Rev. C **100**, 024304 (2023)