



Numerical simulations of *i*-process nucleosynthesis in stars constrained by nuclear physics experiments and astrophysical observations

Pavel Denissenkov

in collaboration with Falk Herwig, Georgios Perdikakis, Marco Pignatari, Hendrik Schatz, Artemis Spyrou, Paul Woodward,

and CaNPAN students Lauren Harewood, Joshua Issa, Mallory Loria, and Parth Vats







JINA-CEE

Outline

- 1. What is *i* process and how does it differ from the *s* and *r* processes?
- 2. Multi-zone and one-zone models of *i*-process nucleosynthesis.
- 3. What are CEMP stars and their *s*, *r*, and *s*/*r* sub-classes?
- 4. Direct and indirect signatures of *i*-process nucleosynthesis in stars.
- 5. On the important role of the split of a He-shell flash convection zone in limiting the *i*-process neutron exposure time.
- 6. Comparison of results obtained with one- and multi-zone models.
- 7. A conclusion that some of the CEMP-*s* stars may actually be the CEMP-*i* stars.
- 8. MC simulations with one- and multi-zone *i*-process models for n-capture reaction rate uncertainty studies of unstable isotopes.
- 9. Comparison of *i*-process simulations with multi-zone RAWD and AGB models.
- 10. CaNPAN computational tools and related experiments.
- 11. Conclusions

Slow (s-), rapid (r-), and intermediate (i-) neutron-capture processes



Multi-zone and one-zone models of *i*-process nucleosynthesis in stars





Multi-zone model: temperature (T) and density (ρ) are both increasing with depth. Convection driven by a Heshell flash ingests H into He- and C-rich zones, in which the reactions ${}^{12}C(p,\gamma){}^{13}N(e^+\nu){}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ release neutrons. Therefore, the neutron density N_n is also increasing with depth. One-zone model: T, ρ , and N_n are all constant in the zone.

Pollution of CEMP-*r*/*s* (CEMP-*i*) stars by products of *i*-process nucleosynthesis?



 TABLE 2
 Definition of subclasses of metal-poor stars

5

CEMP stars and equilibrium *i*-process abundances from the one-zone model



One-zone computations of *i*-process nucleosynthesis:

equilibrium abundances at the neutron exposure $\tau = \int_0^t N_n v_{n,th} dt \gg 1$



Indeed, some of the CEMP-*r*/*s* stars are probably CEMP-*i* stars



Johnson, J., A., Bolte, M., 2004, ApJ, 605,462

Lai, D.K., et al.. 2007, ApJ, 667, 1185

Bisterzo, S., et al., 2011, MNRAS, 418, 284

Note: [Ba/La] is sensitive to the value of N_n for the *i*-process equilibrium abundances calculated for constant values of N_n !



Multi-zone *i*-process models: He-shell flashes in the post-AGB Sakurai's object, rapidly-accreting white dwarfs (RAWDs), and low-mass metal-poor AGB stars







Multi-zone *i*-process models: He-shell flashes in the post-AGB Sakurai's object, rapidly-accreting white dwarfs (RAWDs), and low-mass metal-poor AGB stars



3-D Simulations of Hydrogen Ingestion Flashes in AGB Stars as Sites for *i*-process Nucleosynthesis

Paul Woodward, Jagan Jayaraj, Pei-Hung Lin, Michael Knox Stou Sandalski, University of Minnesota

Falk Herwig, University of Victoria

Comparison of one-zone and multi-zone RAWD and AGB models



Some of the CEMP-s stars may actually be the CEMP-i stars: one-zone models



 (n,γ) reaction rate uncertainties and a possibility that in some of the CEMP-*i* stars the [Ba/La] ratio has not reached its equilibrium value (curves have $\tau > 1.5$ mbarn⁻¹) have to be taken into account

Note: [Ba/La] is sensitive to the value of N_n for the *i*-process equilibrium abundances calculated for constant values of N_n !



Some of the CEMP-s stars may actually be the CEMP-i stars: multi-zone models



It takes a longer time for [Ba/La] to reach its equilibrium value



Hauser-Feshbach calculations of (n, γ) reaction rates for unstable isotopes

Table 1. List of models used to describe the nuclear level density and the γ strength in the Hauser-Feshbach calculations. Calculations were performed with all 20 possible combinations of these models.

Nuclear Level Density (NLD) models used in this work

Constant Temperature matched to the Fermi Gas (CT+BSFG) (Dilg et al., 1973) Back-shifted Fermi Gas (BSFG) (Dilg et al., 1973; Gilbert and Cameron, 1965) Generalized Super fluid (GSM) (Ignatyuk et al., 1979; Ignatyuk et al., 1993) Hartree Fock using Skyrme force (HFS) (Goriely et al., 2001) Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov + combinatorial (HFBS-C) (Goriely et al., 2008)

 γ ray Strength Function (γ SF) models used in this work

Kopecky-Uhl generalized Lorentzian (KU) (Kopecky and Uhl, 1990) Hartree-Fock BCS + QRPA (HF-BCS+QRPA) (Goriely and Khan, 2002) Hartree-Fock-Bogolyubov + QRPA (HFB+QRPA) (Goriely et al., 2004) Modified Lorentzian (Gor-ML)(Goriely, 1998)



Random variations of (n, γ) reaction rates for unstable isotopes in MC calculations



- Experimental (n,y) rates are used for stable isotopes.
- We ignore possible correlations between (n,γ) rates for selected unstable isotopes (the lower two panels show that when TALYS rates correlate the corresponding experimental rates do not necessarily correlate and can be outside of TALYS ranges, even for stable isotopes).

16

- We vary (n,γ) rates r_i for selected unstable isotopes multiplying their NuGrid values by the factors $f_i = (p/v_i^{rand})$ + $(1-p)v_i^{rand}$, where p is assigned a value 0 or 1 with equal probability, and v_i^{rand} are randomly chosen from uniform distributions between 1 and the ratios $v_i^{max} = r_i^{max}/r_i^{min}$ for the TALYS rates.
- This method allows us to identify rates whose variations have the strongest impacts on predicted abundances.



Comparison of MC simulation results for one-zone and multi-zone RAWD models



Identifying (n, γ) reaction rates having the strongest impact on the predicted elemental abundance ratios for one-zone model with N_n =3.16×10¹³ cm⁻³



Comparison of MC simulation results for one-zone and multi-zone RAWD models



Identifying (n,γ) reaction rates having the strongest impact on the predicted elemental abundance ratios for multi-zone RAWD model



Comparison of *i*-process nucleosynthesis conditions in RAWD and AGB models





Fig. 3. Mass-metallicity diagram showing the occurrence of PIEs during the early AGB phase of models from various authors. Filled symbols show models experiencing a PIE while empty symbols are for models that do not experience a PIE. The dark grey zone shows the approximate region where PIEs happen in all of the models and the light grey one where PIEs happen in most of the models. The corresponding [Fe/H] ratios are indicated on the right axis assuming solar-scaled mixtures. Models are from Iwamoto et al. (2004, red triangles), Campbell & Lattanzio (2008, magenta circles), Cristallo et al. (2009, blue triangle), Lau et al. (2009, blue diamonds). Suda & Fujimoto (2010, orange triangles), Cristallo et al. (2009, blue triangle), Lau et al. (2009, blue diamonds). Suda & Fujimoto (2010, orange triangles), Cristallo et al. (2009, blue triangle), can bell work are shown as green squares. All models were computed without extra mixing processes, except the models from Cristallo et al. (2009, 2016), which consider overshooting below the convective envelope.

Figure 10. The evolution of the maximum neutron number density in the He convective zones of our RAWD models. In model A, the jump in the evolution of $N_{n, max}$ at the end is caused by the switching to the second phase of H ingestion that is shorter but faster than the previous phase (see text).

Table 1. Summary of the one-dimensional RAWD simulation parameters (L_{He}^{ing} is the He luminosity at the beginning of H ingestion).

| model | [Fe/H] | $M_{ m WD}(m M_{\odot})$ | $\dot{M}_{ m acc}({ m M}_\odot{ m yr}^{-1})$ | $\log_{10}(L_{\rm He}^{\rm max}/{\rm L}_{\odot})$ | $\log_{10}(L_{\rm He}^{\rm ing}/{\rm L}_{\odot})$ | $\dot{M}_{ m ing}({ m M}_\odot{ m s}^{-1})$ | t_{ing} (yr) | η (%) |
|-------|--------|---------------------------|--|---|---|---|----------------|------------|
| A | 0.0 | 0.70 | 2.6×10^{-7} | 10.9 | 9.1 | $2.2(35) \times 10^{-12}$ | 0.17(0.024) | _ |
| В | -0.7 | 0.71 | 1.7×10^{-7} | 9.5 | 8.5 | 2.0×10^{-12} | 0.054 | 4.9 |
| С | -1.1 | 0.71 | 1.5×10^{-7} | 9.3 | 8.4 | 4.0×10^{-12} | 0.042 | 4.9 |
| D | -1.55 | 0.71 | 1.5×10^{-7} | 9.3 | 8.5 | 4.2×10^{-12} | 0.083 | 9.6 |
| E | -2.0 | 0.74 | 1.7×10^{-7} | 8.7 | 8.1 | 3.3×10^{-12} | 0.060 | 27 |
| F | -2.3 | 0.75 | 1.5×10^{-7} | 9.2 | 8.6 | 2.4×10^{-11} | 0.058 | 19 |
| G | - 2.6 | 0.75 | 1.5×10^{-7} | 8.5 | 8.0 | 6.7×10^{-12} | 0.087 | 29 |

Comparison of *i*-process elemental abundances from RAWD and AGB models





Figure 16. This plot is made to resemble fig. 13 of Karinkuzhi et al. (2021), except that it shows only their CEMP-r/s stars and elemental abundance ratio dilution curve for the 1 M_{\odot} AGB star model with [Fe/H] = -2.5 (dashed red curves). For a comparison, we have added the stars CS 31062–050 (the blue circle) and HE 2148–1247 (the green circle) and the dilution curve for our RAWD G model (solid black curves).

Comparison of MC simulation results

Table of unstable isotopes whose (n,γ) reaction rate uncertainty has the strongest impact on the predicted *i*-process abundance of a given element (AGB model from Martinet, S. et al. 2024, A&A, 684, A8)

| Element | AGB model | RAWD model | <i>log</i> ₁₀ <i>N</i> _n =13.5 | |
|---------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Sr | ⁸⁸ Kr | ⁸⁸ Rb | ⁸⁸ Kr, ⁸⁸ Rb | |
| Y | ⁸⁹ Sr | ⁸⁹ Rb | ⁸⁹ Rb | |
| Zr | ⁹⁰ Sr | ⁹⁰ Sr | ⁹⁰ Sr | |
| Ва | ¹³⁷ Xe, ¹³⁷ Cs, ¹³⁸ Cs | ¹³⁷ Cs, ¹³⁸ Cs | ¹³⁷ Cs | |
| La | ¹³⁹ Ba | ¹³⁹ Ba | ¹³⁹ Ba | |
| Ce | ¹⁴⁰ Ba, ¹⁴² La | ¹³⁹ Ba, ¹⁴⁰ Ba | ¹⁴⁰ Ba | |
| Pr | ¹⁴¹ La | ¹⁴¹ La | ¹⁴¹ La | |
| Nd | ¹⁴⁴ Ce | ¹⁴⁴ Ce | ¹⁴⁴ Ce | |
| Sm | ¹⁴⁷ Pr, ¹⁴⁷ Nd | ¹⁴⁷ Pr, ¹⁴⁹ Nd | ¹⁴⁷ Pr | |
| Eu | ¹⁵¹ Pm, ¹⁵³ Sm | ¹⁵¹ Nd, ¹⁵¹ Pm | ¹⁵¹ Nd | |
| Gd | ¹⁵⁶ Sm | ¹⁵⁶ Sm | ¹⁵⁶ Sm | |
| Dy | ¹⁶¹ Tb, ¹⁶² Tb, ¹⁶³ Tb | ¹⁶² Tb, ¹⁶³ Tb | ¹⁶³ Tb | |
| Er | ¹⁶⁶ Dy | ¹⁶⁶ Dy | ¹⁶⁶ Dy | |
| Hf | ¹⁷⁷ Yb, ¹⁷⁸ Yb | ¹⁷⁷ Yb, ¹⁷⁸ Yb | ¹⁷⁸ Yb | |

CaNPAN computational tools and experiments (see at https://canpan.ca)

i-process-tools

Description

This repository (http://206-12-89-164.cloud.computecanada.ca/NuGrid/i-process-tools) contains data files and notebooks that allow to run multiple one-zone simulations of iprocess nucleosynthesis for constant neutron densities, analyze results of these simulations and compare them with observational data from the JINAbase and Kim Venn's lists of CEMP-r/s, aka CEMP-i, stars.

Shell scripts, data files and notebooks allowing to prepare and run Monte Carlo simulations for a study of the impact of (n,g) reaction rate uncertainties of unstable isotopes on elemental and isotopic abundances predicted for i process are all in the repository http://206-12-89-164.cloud.computecanada.ca/csa/weak-i-process-impact.







| Proposal Number | PI | Title | Species (n,g) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| ANL-1734 | Ann-Cecilie Larsen | The rare-earth r-process peak: 156-159Sm(n,γ) reaction rates constrained with the beta-Oslo method | 155-157Sm |
| ANL-1742 | Artemis Spyrou | Constraints on neutron-capture reactions around N=82 | 138Ba, 139Ba |
| ANL-1755 | Sean Liddick | Neutron-capture cross section constraints in neutron-rich Sn and Sb isotopes | 132Sb, 128-129Sn |
| ANL-1799 | Stephanie Lyons | Constraining neutron-capture cross sections for the i-process | 87-89Kr |
| ANL-1807 | Mallory Smith | Investigating gamma-ray strength functions and nuclear level densities in neutron-rich Zr isotopes | 96-97Zr, 99Zr |
| ANL-1812 | Adriana Sweet | Neutron-capture cross sections for heavy-mass fission fragments constrained with the B-Oslo method | 93-95Sr |
| ANL-1928 | Hannah Berg | Constraining neutron-capture cross section for the i-process around A=150 | 151-153Nd |
| ANL-1929 146Ce | Andrea Richard | Neutron-capture constraints for the astrophysical i-process | 140Ba, 144Ce, |
| ANL-2018 ANL-2055 | Andrea Richard Erin Good | Constraining i-Process Nucleosynthesis in the Nb-Ru Region Astrophysical i-process constraints via the β -Oslo method | 99-101Mo 85Kr, 86Br, 92Rb |
| ANL-e1928 146Ce | Andrea Richard | Neutron-capture constraints for the Astrophysical i-process | 140Ba, 144Ce, |
| ANL-e1929 | Hannah Berg | Constraining neutron-capture cross sections for the i-process around A=150 | 151-153Nd |
| ANL-e2018 | Andrea Richard | Constraining i-Process Nucleosynthesis in the Nb-Ru Region | 99-101Mo |
| FRIB-23084 | Steve Pain | Informing the i process: constraining the As/Ge abundance ratio in a metal poor star via 75Ga(d,pg)76Ga | 75Ga |
| FRIB-e23004 | Eleanor Ronning | The Last Piece of the Generalized Brink Axel Hypothesis | 69Zn, 96Zr |
| FRIB-e23056 | Andrea Richard | Indirect 99Nb(n,g)100Nb Constraint for the Astrophysical | 99Nb |
| | Nicholas Scielzo | Determination of the 92Sr(n,g) cross section and fission product burn up | 92Sr |
| NSCL-e16033 | Artemis Spyrou | Study of Kr isotopes for astrophysical applications | 85Kr |
| NSCL-e17014 | Sean Liddick | Photon strength function following the decay of 70Cu | 70Cu |
| TRIUMF-S1893 | Richard Hughes | Determining the Neutron Capture Cross Section for Unstable 93Sr Via the Surrogate Method | 93Sr |
| TRIUMF-S1944 | Denis Mücher | Constraining neutron capture rates for the astrophysical i process | 139Ba, 137,139Cs |
| TRIUMF-S2303 | Matthew Williams | Can an i-process explain high [As/Ge] ratios seen in metal-poor stars? | 75Ga |

24

CONCLUSIONS

- Most of the CEMP-*r*/*s* stars are actually the CEMP-*i* stars.
- Some of the CEMP-s stars may also be the CEMP-i stars in which [Ba/La] has not reached its
 equilibrium value yet, e.g. because of i-process shutdown caused by the split of the He
 convection zone.
- The peak neutron densities characteristic for the *i* process whose signatures are seen in the CEMP-*i* stars lie between 10¹³ and 10¹⁵ cm⁻³.
- One-zone models with constant neutron densities can be used in MC computations to identify (n,γ) reaction rates whose uncertainties have the strongest impact on predicted *i*-process elemental and isotopic abundances.
- Right now, the most important reactions whose rates need to be constrained experimentally to improve *i*-process nucleosynthesis models are $^{151}Nd(n,\gamma)$, $^{88}Kr(n,\gamma)$, and $^{89}Rb(n,\gamma)$.
- Unlike RAWD models, the low-mass low-Z AGB models predict lower second- to first-peak elemental abundance ratios than those observed in the CEMP-*i* stars, require too high fractions of *i*-process abundances in them, and they seem to disagree with the lack of CEMP-*i* stars in globular clusters with [Fe/H] ≤ -2 and signatures of *i*-process nucleosynthesis in pre-solar dust grains (see my talk tomorrow).