

#### In Situ Combination of Jet Uncertainties and the Production of Jet Calibrations for the ATLAS Detector

Jérémie LePage-Bourbonnais

Feb. 15<sup>th</sup>, 2025

WNPPC 2025

## FATLAS The Large Hadron Collider

- Located at CERN and in both Switzerland and France
- + 27 km loop, ~100 meters below the ground
- Accelerates and collides bunches of protons and heavy ions at high center of mass energies  $(\sqrt{s})$
- Home to detectors like ALICE, CMS, LHCb, and  $\ensuremath{\mathbf{ATLAS}}$







- ATLAS is a multi-purpose detector
- Layered detector design
  - Inner tracking detectors for charged particles
  - Calorimeters to measure particle energy
  - Muon spectrometer provides secondary measurements of muon momentum
- 'Onion'-like hermetic design maximises coverage around the collision point





Detector Cross-Sections





### Jets and the ATLAS Detector

- High energy collisions produce sprays of particles
- Most ATLAS analyses group these particles and the associated detector responses into useful objects called jets

Hadronization hadrons  $\pi^{\pm} K^{\pm} \dots$ 

The Fractal Lives of Jets | Eric M. Metodiev, Modified

Detection

• Jets are by far the most common product of collisions

Fragmentation partons @ 12 d ...

- A lot of work goes into constructing and calibrating jets in ATLAS
- The measured energy can differ from the original 'true' energy
  - Difference in calorimeter responses
  - 'Blind' detector regions

# Jet Reconstruction

- The anti-kt algorithm is used to build jets from the detector measurements
- The radius  $(\mathbf{R})$  characterizes the reconstructed jet size
  - Small-R ( $\mathbf{R} = 0.4$ ) Good for 'standard' jets
  - Large-R (R = 1.0) Good for jets from high momentum W, Z, top, etc. <sub>2</sub>
- Corrections are applied to the jets to account for noise from other secondary collisions (pileup)
  - As you can see below, events can be quite noisy!



#### ATLAS Event Display







#### Jet Calibrations

- Biases in the detector response are accounted for by calibrations to the jet energy and direction
  - Important near boundaries between detector components
  - Also account for jet properties such as flavour, topology, and the simulator used
- The final step is to correct data to match simulations using in situ measurements of the Jet Energy Scale (JES)
  - This corrects the jet energy to the particle level scale
  - In Situ: calibrations are derived from jet measurements within the detector
    - Uses same datasets as analyses





- Multiple statistically independent measurements are used to evaluate the JES across a range of pT values
  - Momentum balance between reference and probe jets
  - Measurements from complimentary detectors
- The combination in this presentation uses the following measurements:
  - Photon + Jet
  - Z  $\rightarrow$  ee + Jet
  - Z  $\rightarrow \mu\mu + \text{Jet}$
  - Single Particle Response (E/p)
- Data/Simulation ratio of jet response  $R = \left< \frac{p_t^{jet}}{p_t^{ref}} \right>$  used to evaluate the JES for each method





• The JES calibration is a weighted average combining all the measurements



- The weights are determined by a  $\chi^2$  minimization
  - Accounts for correlations in uncertainties within measurements
  - Measurements with smaller uncertainties have larger weights
- Additional uncertainty scaling is applied where measurements have less agreement
- Combining measurements reduces the overall uncertainty
- First time combining single particle response (E/P) with jet momentum balance!

### **Combined JES** Calibration



9



### In Situ Uncertainties

- The full JES calibration uncertainties come from statistical and systematic sources
  - These include jet particle flavour, biases from using select event topologies for the calibration, etc.
- Uncertainties are collected and defined in configurations which ATLAS analyses can use to easily apply uncertainties correctly
  - Contain information on correlations, parametrization, etc.
- Like for the JES, there are sets of uncertainties for the Jet Energy Resolution (JER), Jet Mass Scale (JMS) calibration, and Jet Mass Resolution (JMS)



#### Final JES Uncertainties

- Shifts from the individual uncertainties are applied to jets
  - Modify their energy and mass

- Analyses are designed to account for the impact of these uncertainties on their results
- Much smaller (world-leading) total uncertainty compared to the previous JES!





• Jets are by far the most common object in ATLAS collisions

- Precise measurements of jet characteristics are very important for most analyses
- The JES calibration is crucial to accurately analyse jets in the ATLAS datasets
  - The calibration uncertainties account for the majority of the jet uncertainty and so achieving a precise measurement is a challenging but important effort
  - Currently we can achieve sub 1% uncertainties for most jets!
- Thanks for your attention!
- Many thank for the help from the ATLAS JetEtMiss and In Situ group as well as the Carleton ATLAS group



## Backup Slides

# Jet Construction in ATLAS

- Many steps go into producing jet objects used by analyses:
  - A jet finding algorithm is used to produce initial objects
  - Numerous corrections and calibrations are used to refine the initial jet object
  - Finally the in situ calibration corrects for differences between data and Monte Carlo simulations
- The Jet Energy Scale (JES) in situ calibration corrects the reconstructed jet energy to match simulation
  - Derived from the differences between data and simulation using well understood reference objects
  - + A similar calibration also exist for the Jet Mass Scale (JMS)





- Photon + Jet
- $Z \rightarrow ee + Jet$
- $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu + Jet$





ATLAS

 $\simeq$  0.95  $\sim$  13 TeV, 80 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Z+jet,  $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ 

 $|\eta^{\rm jet}| < 0.8$ 

0.90 Anti-k, R = 0.4 (PFlow+JES)

Data

Powheg+Pythia8

15

Sherpa 2.2.1

### **FATLAS** JES Statistical Combination

- A fine binning is used for the combination
- For each bin the JES response is determined from a weighted average from each method's interpolated result





- A  $\chi^2$  minimization is used to weigh the inputs for each bin in pT
  - Weights are proportional to the inverse of the square uncertainties
  - Uncertainties are rescaled by the tension  $\left(\sqrt{\chi^2/dof}\right)$  if it is larger than 1