

# Investigating Energy Mixing Dynamics of Magnetically Trapped Antihydrogen



Abbygale Swadling PhD student, University Of Calgary Supervisor: Dr. Timothy Friesen

February 16<sup>th</sup> WNPPC 2025



Canadian Institute of Nuclear Physics

Institut canadien de physique nucléaire





## **Overview**



- 1) Introduction to ALPHA and energy mixing
- 2) Why study energy mixing?
- 3) Experimental methods for energy mixing studies
- 4) Results
- 5) Conclusion and next steps





**Positron Source** 

ALPHA-g

- ALPHA utilizes the ELENA (Extra Low ENergy Antiproton) ring at CERN
- ALPHA investigates the baryon asymmetry problem
- ALPHA produces and traps antihydrogen ( $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ ) for experimental use



# Magnetic Trapping of Antihydrogen



- The dipole moment ( $\vec{\mu}$  ) interacts with the magnetic field (B)
  - $\mathbf{U} = -\overrightarrow{\mu} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{B}}$
- If  $\vec{\mu}$  is anti-aligned with  $\vec{B}$  an atom will be "low-field seeking" and can be trapped



# **H** Energy Components

- $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  atoms have axial (E<sub>||</sub>) and transverse energy (E<sub>⊥</sub>)
  - $E_{total} = E_{\parallel} + E_{\perp}$



atom with only transverse energy
 atom with only axial energy

# **H** Energy Mixing



- Energy mixing is when  $E_{\parallel}$  and  $E_{\perp}$  are exchanged over an atom's trapped lifetime
- Energy mixing is caused by azimuthal field asymmetries
  - Radial components of solenoid fields add to the radial field of the octupole
  - Octupole end turns
- Simulations predict that some atoms will not mix energies
  - This has never been experimentally verified



# Why investigate energy mixing?



- Studies can help ALPHA reach higher experimental precision
- Understanding the timescale is important for ALPHA experiments
  - Laser cooling of antihydrogen
  - Laser and microwave spectroscopy
  - Measuring the effects of gravity on antimatter
- Experimental studies are critical for simulations
  - All results in ALPHA require simulations to compare matter to antimatter





# UNIVERSITY OF

# Simulated **H** Energy Mixing

- Simulations predict the existence of two categories of atoms those that will mix energies and those that will not
- If atoms start with low axial energy they tend not to mix
- 1/3 of atoms are no-mix
  - Initial  $oldsymbol{arepsilon}_{\parallel} < 0.1$
- 2/3 of atoms mix
  - Initial  $m{arepsilon}_{\parallel} > 0.1$



Image Credit: Dr. Danielle Hodgkinson, adapted from Zhong, A., Fajans, J., Zukor, A. F. Axial to transverse energy mixing dynamics in octupole-based magnetostatic antihydrogen traps. *New J. Phys.* **79**, 053003, (2018).

- **H** is confined axially by short solenoids and radially by octupole magnet
- Axial trap depth is set by magnetic field of short solenoids

Octupole

**ALPHA-2** Trap

**Short Solenoids** 





- Decrease B field at short solenoids, this decreases the axial trap depth
- Immediately  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  with  $\mathrm{E}_{\parallel}$  > trap depth are lost
  - These are mostly mix atoms
- Hold atoms in this field
- Remaining  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  with  $E_{\parallel} + E_{\perp} > trap$  depth may escape if they mix energies
  - Atoms need to gain  $E_{\parallel}$  from their  $E_{\perp}$  component to overcome the axial trap depth





- After waiting two types of atom should remain trapped
  - 1) No mix atoms with  $E_{\parallel}$  < trap depth
  - 2) Atoms with  $E_{\parallel} + E_{\perp} < trap depth$





- Inject microwaves at positron spin resonance for atoms sampling the B field just above the axial trap depth
- $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  with  $E_{\perp}$  > trap depth will be ejected
  - These atoms must be no-mix





- Inject microwaves at positron spin resonance for atoms sampling the B field just above the axial trap depth
- $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  with  $E_{\perp}$  > trap depth will be ejected
  - These atoms must be no-mix





- There should only be one type of atom remaining
  - Atoms with  $E_{\parallel} + E_{\perp} < trap depth$  (cold atoms, less than 86 mK)
- Remove radial confinement by ramp down octupole magnet
  - All trapped atoms remaining will be lost



#### Step 1 to 2







# **Experimental Results: HEnergy Mixing Evidence**





2.4 Ê

2.2 2.0

1.8 1.6

1.4

1.2

1.0

Magnetic Field, B

3

#### Step 2 to 3





# **Experimental Results: No Mix H Evidence**



# **Summary of Results**



Stage	Losses*
Decrease short solenoids <sup>+</sup>	68.5%
Hold for atoms to mix energies	12.6%
Microwave background	1.9%
Microwave ejection of no-mix atoms	7.6%
Count cold atoms	8.9%

\*Losses are represented as percentages of total population and are shown only in relevant experimental steps
+ Not shown in plot

#### **H** Atoms Lost During Experiment



# **Conclusion and Next Steps**



• No-mix  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  atoms have been observed for the first time

- Analysis of the experiment is currently in progress
- Results will be used for future experiment design, analysis, and simulation in ALPHA
  - Improved cooling of antihydrogen
  - Higher precision gravity measurements on antimatter
  - Higher precision laser and microwave spectroscopy

# Acknowledgements



- This experiment was proposed by Prof. Joel Fajans (UC Berkeley), Prof. Jonathan Wurtele (UC Berkeley), and Prof. Mike Hayden (Simon Fraser University).
- This experiment was designed and simulated by Dr. Danielle Hodgkinson (UC Berkeley).



**UC Berkeley** 





Canadian Institute of Nuclear Physics

Institut canadien de physique nucléaire





# **Extra slides**

# **Ground State Hyperfine Transitions**

- Trapped  $\overline{H}$  will be in  $|1S_d\rangle$
- Microwave radiation can induce transitions from  $|1S_d\rangle \rightarrow |1S_a\rangle$
- If H
   If H
   Iransitions to |1S<sub>a</sub>> it will escape and annihilate on surrounding apparatus walls



## **The ALPHA experiment**





Image Credit: Ahmadi, M., Alves, B.X.R., Baker, C.J. *et al.* Characterization of the 1S–2S transition in antihydrogen. *Nature* **557**, 71–75 (2018).





# Solenoid Octupole HIII Octupole Solenoid HIII Octupole

# **Key Steps of Experiment**





# **Results Comparison**



Stage	Losses*	Preliminary Simulated Losses <sup>*†</sup>
Decrease short solenoids	68.5%	76.2%
Hold for atoms to mix energies	12.6%	15.4%
Microwave background	1.9%	
Microwave ejection of no-mix atoms	7.6%	7.3%
Count cold atoms	8.9%	0.1%

\*Losses are represented as percentages of total population and are shown only in relevant experimental steps



# **Electron Cyclotron Resonance (ECR)**







# Axial Trap Depth During Energy Mixing Hold

- The magnetic field was measured using Electron Cyclotron Resonance (ECR) techniques
- One of the short solenoid fields was slowly increased over time
  - This caused the axial trap depth to increase during the energy mixing hold
  - Trap depth = (maximum field at the short solenoid) – (magnetic minimum)





# **Axial Magnetic Field During Energy Mixing Hold**

 Axial magnetic field was always 1.052 Magnetic Field (T) higher at the downstream solenoid 1.050 It was necessary to increase the field • only at the upstream solenoid to

maintain an increasing trap depth

