

Explorations with the In-Medium No-Core Shell Model

Peter Gysbers The Facility for Rare Isotope Beams at Michigan State University

PAINT - Feb 26, 2025



Acknowledgements

- ► FRIB/MSU: H. Hergert
- NotreDame: M. Caprio, R. Stroberg
- TRIUMF: P. Navrátil, M. Gennari
- LLNL: K. Kravvaris, M. Atkinson

Re-implementation/Extension of work at TU Darmstadt

▶ R. Roth, E. Gebrerufael, K. Vobig, T. Mongelli, C. Wenz

Funding

DOE Collaboration: Nuclear Theory for New Physics (NTNP)

Outline

- IMSRG-Improved Methods
 - In-Medium No-Core Shell Model (IMNCSM)
- Preliminary Results
- Open questions and speculations

Motivation

- The NCSM requires an expansion over a huge basis
- Can we tune the basis (with IMSRG) to reduce the size required?













- HH, Phys. Scripta, Phys. Scripta 92, 023002 (2017)
- HH, S. K. Bogner, T. D. Morris, A. Schwenk, and K. Tuskiyama, Phys. Rept. 621, 165 (2016)
- Valence-Space IMSRG (VS-IMSRG)
 - S. R. Stroberg, HH, S. K. Bogner, J. D. Holt, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 69, 165
- In-Medium No Core Shell Model (IM-NCSM)
 - E. Gebrerufael, K. Vobig, HH, R. Roth, PRL 118, 152503
- In-Medium Generator Coordinate Method (IM-GCM)
 - J. M. Yao, J. Engel, L. J. Wang, C. F. Jiao, HH PRC 98, 054311 (2018)
 - J. M. Yao et al.. PRL 124. 232501 (2020)



XY7



- IMSRG for closed and open-shell nuclei: IM-HF and IM-PHFB
 - HH, Phys. Scripta, Phys. Scripta 92, 023002 (2017)
 - HH, S. K. Bogner, T. D. Morris, A. Schwenk, and K. Tuskiyama, Phys. Rept. 621, 165 (2016)







- Valence-Space IMSRG (VS-IMSRG)
 - S. R. Stroberg, HH, S. K. Bogner, J. D. Holt, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part Sci. 69, 165





- In-Medium Generator Coordinate Method (IM-GCM)
 - J. M. Yao, J. Engel, L. J. Wang, C. F. Jiao, HH PRC 98, 054311 (2018)
 - J. M. Yao et al., PRL 124, 232501 (2020)





- In-Medium No Core Shell Model (IM-NCSM)
 - E. Gebrerufael, K. Vobig, HH, R. Roth, PRL 118, 152503

- Choose/find a reference state
- ► Normal-Order the Hamiltonian with respect to that reference
- Evolve Hamiltonian with IMSRG (and any operators)
- ▶ De-Normal-Order back to the vacuum representation (if necessary)
- ▶ Use effective Hamiltonian in many-body method to extract observables

Vacuum Normal-Ordering

- = Standard Second Quantization
 - Normal-ordered operators: $A_j^i = a_i^{\dagger} a_j$, $A_{kl}^{ij} = a_j^{\dagger} a_i^{\dagger} a_k a_l$, etc,
 - with respect to the vacuum, i.e. $\langle 0 | A | 0 \rangle = 0$

$$H = T_{\text{int}} + V$$

= $\left(1 - \frac{1}{A}\right)T^{(1)} + \frac{1}{A}T^{(2)} + V^{(2)} + V^{(3)}$
= $\sum t_{\circ}^{\circ}A_{\circ}^{\circ} + \frac{1}{4}\sum (t_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + v_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ})A_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + \frac{1}{36}\sum v_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ}A_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ}$

Reference Normal-Ordering

Given a reference state $|\Psi\rangle$:

- Compute the density matrices: $\rho_i^i = \langle \Psi | A_j^i | \Psi \rangle$ and $\rho_{kl}^{ij} = \langle \Psi | A_{kl}^{ij} | \Psi \rangle$, etc
 - Define operators \tilde{A} such that $\langle \Psi | \tilde{A} | \Psi \rangle = 0$

$$\begin{split} H = & E + \sum f_{\circ}^{\circ} \tilde{A}_{\circ}^{\circ} + \frac{1}{4} \sum \Gamma_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \tilde{A}_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + \frac{1}{36} \sum W_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \tilde{A}_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \\ E = & \sum t_{\circ}^{\circ} \rho_{\circ}^{\circ} + \frac{1}{4} \sum \left(t_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + v_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \right) \rho_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + \frac{1}{36} \sum v_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \rho_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \\ f_{\circ}^{\circ} = & t_{\circ}^{\circ} + \sum \left(t_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + v_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \right) \rho_{\circ}^{\circ} + \frac{1}{4} \sum v_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \rho_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \\ \Gamma_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} = & \left(t_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + v_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \right) + \sum v_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \rho_{\circ}^{\circ} \\ W_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} = & v_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \end{split}$$

Reference Normal-Ordering

Given a reference state $|\Psi\rangle$:

- Compute the density matrices: $\rho_j^i = \langle \Psi | A_j^i | \Psi \rangle$ and $\rho_{kl}^{ij} = \langle \Psi | A_{kl}^{ij} | \Psi \rangle$, etc
 - Define operators \tilde{A} such that $\langle \Psi | \tilde{A} | \Psi \rangle = 0$

$$\begin{split} H = & E + \sum f_{\circ}^{\circ} \tilde{A}_{\circ}^{\circ} + \frac{1}{4} \sum \Gamma_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \tilde{A}_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + \frac{1}{36} \sum W_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \tilde{A}_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \\ & E = \sum t_{\circ}^{\circ} \rho_{\circ}^{\circ} + \frac{1}{4} \sum \left(t_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + v_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \right) \rho_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + \frac{1}{36} \sum v_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \rho_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \\ & f_{\circ}^{\circ} = t_{\circ}^{\circ} + \sum \left(t_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + v_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \right) \rho_{\circ}^{\circ} + \frac{1}{4} \sum v_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \rho_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \\ & \Gamma_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} = \left(t_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} + v_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} \right) + \sum v_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \rho_{\circ}^{\circ} \\ & W_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} = v_{\circ\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ\circ} \text{ NO2B approx.} \end{split}$$

- Choose/find a reference state
- ▶ Normal-Order the Hamiltonian with respect to that reference
- Evolve Hamiltonian with IMSRG (and any operators)
- ▶ De-Normal-Order back to the vacuum representation (if necessary)
- ▶ Use effective Hamiltonian in many-body method to extract observables

IMSRG: In-Medium Similarity Renormalization Group



- continuous unitary transformation
- solve flow equation: $\frac{d}{ds}H(s) = [\eta(s), H(s)]$

E. Gebrerufael (2017)

IMSRG (cont.)

- Choose $\eta(s)$ to decouple reference state from excitations
- $E(s) = \langle \Psi | H(s) | \Psi \rangle$ converges to an eigenstate



E. Gebrerufael (2017)

IMSRG (cont.)

Advantages

- soft scaling with A (never construct H(s) explicitly)
- 3N interaction included via the normal-ordered two-body approximation (NO2B)

Disadvantages

- ground state only
- ► formulated for 0⁺ states (only even nuclei)

Compromises

- $\eta(s)$ not computed exactly
 - IM-SRG(2): include only up to 2-body flow equations
 - include only up to 2-body irreducible densities $(\lambda^{(2)})$ in contractions

- Choose/find a reference state
- ▶ Normal-Order the Hamiltonian with respect to that reference
- Evolve Hamiltonian with IMSRG (and any operators)
- De-Normal-Order back to the vacuum representation (if necessary)
- Use effective Hamiltonian in many-body method to extract observables

De-Normal-Ordering

Reconstruct a Vacuum Normal-Ordered Hamiltonian

$$H(s) = h + \sum h_{\circ}^{\circ} A_{\circ}^{\circ} + \sum h_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} A_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ}$$
$$h = E(s) - \sum f_{\circ}^{\circ}(s)\rho_{\circ}^{\circ} - \frac{1}{4}\sum \Gamma_{\circ}^{\circ}(s)\left(\rho_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} - 4\rho_{\circ}^{\circ}\rho_{\circ}^{\circ}\right)$$
$$h_{\circ\circ}^{\circ} = f_{\circ}^{\circ}(s) - \sum \Gamma_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ}(s)\rho_{\circ}^{\circ}$$
$$h_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ} = \Gamma_{\circ\circ}^{\circ\circ}(s)$$

No-Core Shell Model (NCSM)

Find eigenstates of the *A*-body nuclear Hamiltonian:

 $H |\Psi_k\rangle = E_k |\Psi_k\rangle$

Expand in anti-symmetrized products of harmonic oscillator single-particle states:

$$\left|\Psi_{k}\right\rangle = \sum_{N=0}^{N_{\max}} \sum_{j} c_{Nj}^{k} \left|\Phi_{Nj}\right\rangle$$

Converge to an exact solution as $N_{\text{max}} \rightarrow \infty$



No-Core Shell Model (NCSM)

Find eigenstates of the *A*-body nuclear Hamiltonian:

 $H |\Psi_k\rangle = E_k |\Psi_k\rangle$

Expand in anti-symmetrized products of harmonic oscillator single-particle states:

$$\left|\Psi_{k}\right\rangle = \sum_{N=0}^{N_{\max}} \sum_{j} c_{Nj}^{k} \left|\Phi_{Nj}\right\rangle$$

Converge to an exact solution as $N_{\text{max}} \rightarrow \infty$



Disadvantage: Basis size grows **factorially** with N_{max} and A!

IMNCSM: The best of both

IMSRG

- polynomial-scaling with A
- NO2B approximation
- IM-SRG(2) approximation

NCSM

- easy access to spectroscopy
- no restrictions to even nuclei



- ► Calculate a reference state with NCSM in the *N*^{ref}_{max} space
- ► Normal-Order the Hamiltonian with respect to that reference
- Evolve Hamiltonian with IMSRG (and any operators)
- De-Normal-Order back to the vacuum representation
- Use effective Hamiltonian in NCSM to extract observables



¹⁶O: Ground States



¹⁶O: Ground States



Eta: White $e_{max} = 8$ $h\Omega = 20 \text{MeV}$

¹⁶O: Ground States



¹⁶O: Excited States (NCSM $N_{max}^{ref} = 0$ Reference)



Int: em1.8-2.0 Eta: White $e_{max} = 8$ $h\Omega = 20 \text{MeV}$

Odd-A: ¹⁷O

• use the scalar part of the ground state $\frac{5}{2}^+$ densities (0⁺ "pseudo-state" as reference)



Odd-A: ¹⁷O



Eta: White $e_{max} = 8$ $h\Omega = 20 \text{MeV}$ Odd-A: ¹⁷O



Eta: White $e_{max} = 8$ $\hbar\Omega = 20 \text{MeV}$

Work in Progress: ²⁴O



Eta: White $e_{max} = 8$ $h\Omega = 20$ MeV

Work in Progress: ²⁴O



Eta: ImTime $e_{max} = 8$ $h\Omega = 20$ MeV

Work in Progress: ²⁴O

- Stalling or blow-up in the flow for some references
 - Can we catch and treat level crossings that switch the sign of η ?
 - Can we optimize the ODE solver? (Adaptively relax/tighten constraints)
- Does missing $\lambda^{(3)}$ introduce instability into flow equations?
 - Can we reconstruct/approximate it from $\lambda^{(1)}$ and $\lambda^{(2)}$?

¹⁰Be: IMSRG Flows from $N_{\text{max}}^{\text{ref}} = 0 \ 0^+$ References



¹⁰Be: 0^+ States from $N_{\text{max}}^{\text{ref}} = 0 \ 0^+$ References



Int: chi2bOPT Eta: ImTime $e_{max} = 8$ $\hbar\Omega = 20$ MeV

¹⁰Be: 0^+ States from $N_{\text{max}}^{\text{ref}} = 0 \ 0^+$ References



Int: chi2bOPT Eta: ImTime $e_{max} = 8$ $\hbar\Omega = 20$ MeV

¹⁰Be: 0^+ States from $N_{\text{max}}^{\text{ref}} = 0 \ 0^+$ References



Int: chi2bOPT Eta: ImTime $e_{max} = 8$ $\hbar\Omega = 20$ MeV

Super-Allowed Beta-Decays $(0^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$

Motivation:

- enable extraction of V_{ud} from Fermi transition matrix elements
 - talk by M. Gennari (Tues)
- corrections depend on the full spectrum (intermediate states)
- most candidates at masses beyond the reach of NCSM (²²Mg, ²⁶Al, etc)

¹⁰B: NCSM with NO2B interactions



Int: n4lo500-3NInIE7 $e_{max} = 6$ $h\Omega = 18MeV$

¹⁰B: NCSM with NO2B interactions



Int: n4lo500-3NInIE7 $e_{max} = 6$ $h\Omega = 18$ MeV

¹⁰B: NCSM with NO2B interactions



$^{10}\mathrm{C}:$ NCSM with NO2B interactions



$^{10}\mathrm{C}(0^{\scriptscriptstyle +}) \rightarrow \,^{10}\mathrm{B}$ with NO2B interactions



Int: n4lo500-3NInIE7 $e_{max} = 6$ $\hbar\Omega = 18MeV$ $^{10}C \rightarrow {}^{10}B$

- ► Normal-ordering alone seems to improve mixing of 1⁺ states
 - with some computational cost savings
- But IM-SRG flow stalls requires treating of level crossings and/or $\lambda^{(3)}$ reconstruction

Open Questions

- What determines the evolution stopping criteria?
 - plateau in E(s)?
- Can we achieve convergence with respect to variation of reference state?
 - increase eMax? optimize $\hbar\Omega$?
- Instability and stalling during the flow
 - Can we catch and treat level crossings?
- Can we target experimentally known states by choosing the right reference states?
 - ► select the right low-Nmax precursor state or invent a density with a particular symmetry

Conclusion: A lot more to explore...

- IMSRG is a convergence accelerator!
- Development in progress to improve NCSM calculations
 - apply to open-shell nuclei, excited states, transition operators
 - e.g. push the mass range for super-allowed decays
- Try different IM-improved combinations
 - target intruder states or particular symmetries
 - ► IM-NCSMC
 - IM-GCM-SA-NCSM
- Uncertainty quantification
 - reference state dependence
 - interaction dependence
 - IMSRG generators and evolution parameter





$^{10}\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \, ^{10}\mathrm{B}$ with NO2B interactions



31/29

$^{10}\mathrm{C} \rightarrow ^{10}\mathrm{B}$ with NO2B interactions



32/29